IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE EIGHTH DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE L.NARASIMHA REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO : 24192 of 2004

Between:

T. Mathaiah S/o Devadanam
Kanigiri, Prakasam District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The District Collector, Prakasam District.
2 The Government of A.P., rep by the Secretary Law Department, Secrtariat, Hyderabad.
3 Koppalli Subbarayudu
Kanigiri, Prakasam District.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ, Order or Direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus, declaring the action of the respondents in appointing the 3rd respondent as Asst. Government Pleader and in-charge Asst. Public Prosecutor to the Court of Jr. Civil Judge cum Judicial First Class Magistrate, Kanigiri without following proper procedure established under rules, as illegal arbitrary and consequently set a side the same.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.V.R.MACHAVARAM (Not present)

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.1 and 2: GP FOR LAW & LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS

Counsel for the Respondent No.3 : None appeared.

The Court at the admission stage made the following :

-

-

-

ORDER:

The writ petition was listed for admission on several occasions. There was no representation for the petitioner on 31.01.2005 as well as on 07.02.2005. Hence, it was directed to be listed today for dismissal. Today also there is no representation for the petitioner.

Hence, the writ petition is dismissed for default. There shall be no order as to costs.

_____

08.02.2005

sh/pan

To

1 The District Collector, Prakasam District.
2 The Secretary, Law Department, Government of A.P, Secrtariat, Hyderabad.
3. Two C.Cs to the G.P. for law & legislative affairs, High Court Buildings, Hyderabad (OUT).

4 Two C.D.copies.